1	SHAWN HALBERT California SBN 179023 Law Offices of Shawn Halbert 214 Duboce Avenue San Francisco, California 94103		
2			
3			
4	Telephone: (415) 515-1570 Facsimile: (415) 255-8631		
5	shawn@shawnhalbertlaw.com		
6	Attorney for Defendant RICKY JOSEPH		
7	RICKT FOSLITI		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
9			
10			
11	OAKLA	IND DIVISION	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 23-CR-191 AMO	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE	
14	v.) COURT DATE FROM JANUARY 6, 2025 TO) JANUARY 21, 2025 AND TO EXCLUDE TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)	
15	RICKY JOSEPH		
16	Defendant.))	
17))	
18			
19		STIPULATION	
20	 The case is currently set for status before this court on January 6, 2025 at 10:30 a.m. Defendant is in custody pursuant to this court's order of detention on December 20, 2025. The parties request that the date be continued to January 21, 2025 in order for the defense to review discovery and meet with Mr. Joseph. Further, defense counsel is unavailable on January 		
21			
22			
23			
24	6, 2025.		
25	4. The parties stipulate and agree that it would be appropriate to exclude the time from Janua		
2025 through January 21, 2025 under the Speedy Trial Act to allow		Speedy Trial Act to allow for effective preparation of	
26	and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C.		
27	§§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv). The parties fu	rther stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served	
28			
	STIP. & ORDER 23-CR-191 AMO		

by excluding the time from January 6, 2025 through January 21, 2025 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in the prompt resolution of this case. See id. The parties further stipulate and agree that they either did or intended to stipulate that the time between December 20, 2024 and January 6, 2025 was excludable under the Speedy Trial Act for the same reasons as outlined above, for effective preparation of and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and that the ends of justice served by excluding the time outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in the prompt resolution of this case. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv).

Counsel for Mr. Joseph certifies that she has obtained approval from counsel for the United States to file this stipulation and proposed order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: January 3, 2025

DATED: January 3, 2025

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

/s/ Shawn Halbert

SHAWN HALBERT

Attorney for Defendant Ricky Joseph

ISMAIL RAMSEY **United States Attorney**

/s/ with permission

JONATHAN LEE

Assistant United States Attorney

ORDER

Based upon the representations of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that this matter should be continued from January 6, 2025 to January 21, 2025 at 10:30 a.m.

The Court further finds that that failing to exclude the time from December 20, 2024 through January 21, 2025 would unreasonably deny defense counsel continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from December 20, 2024 through January 21, 2025 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. *See* § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Therefore, and with the consent of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter shall be continued from December 20, 2024 through January 21, 2025 at 10:30 a.m., and that the time from December 20, 2024 through January 21, 2025 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. *See id.*

IT IS SO ORDERED this <u>3rd</u> day of January, 2025.

THE HONORABLE KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge